



Sh. Sandeep Goyal, S/o Sh. Hem Raj,
3516, Calcutta Street,
Amrik Singh Road,
Bathinda .

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Bathinda.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 484 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Sanjeev Goyal for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 04.03.2020 has sought information regarding Mandir Shri Ram Chander Ji(Regn. No.222) Bathinda – immovable property - Bank balance/FDS – shops given on rent - Members of the trust - Bank balance of Lala Moti Ram Memorial Vridh Ashram – shops on rent – members of the trust and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DV Bathinda. The complainant was not provided the information after the appellant filed a complaint in the Commission on 29.07.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent. The Commission has received a copy of letter from APIO-DC Bathinda on 04.01.2021 vide which the PIO has transferred the RTI application to the Manager, Lala Moti Ram Memorial Vridh Ashram Bathinda asking them to provide information.

The Commission has also received a letter from Trust Shri Ram Chander Ji stating that there is no senior citizen home in the name of Lala Moti Ram Memorial Bridh Ashram, Bathinda, however, the society Trust Shri Ram Mander Ji is running a Senior Citizen Home on Amrik Singh Road, Bathinda.

In the reply, the respondent society has further pleaded that it is not a public authority within the ambit of section 2(4) of the RTI Act. It claims that it has not been established under the Constitution of India, Central/State Laws and is a private charitable institution owned and managed by Trust Mandir Ram Chander Ji, which is a religious institution. According to its letter, it is neither funded by the central or state Government, nor obtains any grant in aid from the State Govt.

The above plea of the Trust is accepted. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:10.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Sandeep Goyal, S/o Sh.Hem Raj,
3516, Calcutta Street,
Amrik Singh Road,
Bathinda .

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Bathinda.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 485 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Sanjeev Goyal for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 04.03.2020 has sought information regarding area map around Amrik Singh Road Bathinda and from Anandpur Mandir to SSD Girls College – detail of land lying vacant on Amrik Singh Road to Hotel Amsan Prayan – name of PIO/APIO/Appellate Authority and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DV Bathinda. The complainant was not provided the information after the appellant filed a complaint in the Commission on 29.07.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. The Commission has received a copy of letter through email from the PIO stating that the information has been provided to the complainant vide letter dated 09.02.2021. The complainant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:10.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Tarsem Chand Shastri,
H No-141/S, Jawahar Market,
Nangal, DisttRopar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DPI (EE),
Phase-8, Mohali.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 520 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 28.11.2019 has sought information regarding ETT Sumedh G.P.S Faridpur block Rajpura-1 – issue of ration card without getting the name removed in gram panchayat Raipur – permanent residential certificate giving wrong address – wrong disclosure of marriage certificate in service book and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Education Secretary School, Punjab Chandigarh. The office of Secretary School Education transferred the RTI application to DPI(EE) Mohali on 19.12.2019 u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 10.06.2020 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 10.08.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. Both the parties are absent. The

The PIO vide letter dated 04.02.2021 has informed that the information that has been sought by the complainant does not relate to their office since no action on the documents for which the complainant has sought information, is being taken by their office. Further the information sought is in question form as well as not specific.

I have gone through the RTI application and observe that the RTI application is a mere questionnaire of hypothetical questions. No further action required.

The case is closed.

Chandigarh
Dated:10.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.AnkurSuri,
2709-B, Sector-70,
Chandigarh.

Appellant.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Water Supply and Sanitation Deptt,
Phase-5, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Water Supply and Sanitation Deptt,
Phase-5, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2179 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Ankur Suri as the Appellant
Sh.Harvir Singh, SDO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 05.09.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint No.1101 forwarded by Municipal Corporation Mohali on 16.08.2018 from the office of the office of Water Supply and Sanitation Deptt, Phase-5, Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 07.10.2019 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 05.11.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant on 07.10.2019. The appellant is not satisfied.

The Commission has also received a reply from the PIO on 05.02.2021, which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The reply is not appropriate since the appellant has sought action taken on his complaint dated 16.08.2018. The PIO is directed to provide whatever action has been taken on the complaint, along with all official notings on the complaint.

The information will be provided within 15 days of the receipt of this order.

If the applicant does not receive the reply within fifteen days, he is free to write to the commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:10.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP,
Bathinda.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2030 of 2020

PRESENT: **None for the Appellant**
 Sh.Sanjeev Singla, DSP(HQ) Bathinda for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 20.01.2020 has sought information regarding copy of FIR lodged in connection with Bus-Car collision which resulted four deaths as per news published on 29.11.2019 – registration certificate of bus – details of speed governor in the bus – fitness certificate issued by Board of inspection(Tpt Dept) and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SSP Bathinda. The appellant was not provided he information after the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 22.04.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 09.01.2021.

The appellant is absent. The appellant vide email has informed that he has received the information and his appeal case may be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:10.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner